UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,697	05/11/2007	Gerrit Albert Zilvold	HMNZ 200040US01	4365
27885 FAY SHARPE	7590 01/04/201 LLP	EXAMINER		
	enue, 5th Floor	MENDEZ, ZULMARIAM		
The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/04/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/550,697	ZILVOLD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ZULMARIAM MENDEZ	1723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Se	eptember 2005.					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	3 d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/36/2007; 09/27/2005</u> .	6) Cther					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, sixth paragraph

1. It is noted that the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph have been invoked for the following limitation recited in claims 3 and 5: "means for electrically interconnecting the various adjacent electrodes".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zilvold (WO 98/329900) in view of Cawlfield et al. (US Patent no. 5,064,514).

With regard to claim 1, Zilvold discloses an apparatus for carrying out an electrolytic process (page 1, lines, 7-8), in which apparatus several electrolytic cells are electrically connected in series (page 1, lines 8-9), which electrolysis cells each

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697

Art Unit: 1723

comprise a cell element (page 1, lines 9-17), provided with underlying supply pipes for supplying electrolyte and with collecting discharge pipes disposed near the upper side thereof for discharging electrolyte and the gases formed during the electrolytic process (page 3, line 18 to page 4, line 34), a cathode compartment including a cathode (12) and an anode compartment including an anode (11; figure 1; page 2, lines 15-21), and a diaphragm or semi permeable membrane (page 1, lines 9-11), in which the electrolytic cells have been pressed together between two end plates (1, 2) with a certain bias, so that each anode compartment and each cathode compartment is constructed as one unit together with the supply pipes and the collecting discharge pipes (page 4, line 22 to page 5, line 7; see figure 1), characterized in that the assembly of end plates and electrolytic cells is present in a container/jacket which contains a liquid, heat-transferring medium, such as water (page 1, lines 7-14; page 4, line 35 to page 5, line 7). However, Zilvold fails to teach an electrically non-conducing cell partition being present between the cathode and the anode, which cell partition, in addition to supply pipes and collecting discharge pipes corresponding to the cell element, comprises on or more through channels for the passage there-through of the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container, which channels have been formed in the cell partition in such a manner that the heat-transferring medium that is present in the channels is not under an electric voltage, and that no liquid contact takes place between the electrolyte that is present in the electrolytic cells and the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container, outside the electrolytic cells.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1723

Cawlfield discloses an electrolytic cell (10) comprising an anode (21), a cathode (22), an insulating cell partition/cooling element (18, 19; col. 3, lines 57-61) located adjacent to the anode and cathode, respectively; the cooling element comprising one or more through channels (24, 26, 65 and 68) which channels have been formed in the cell partition for the passage there-through of the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container in such a manner that the heat-transferring medium that is present in the channels is not under an electric voltage - the cooling elements are made of an insulating material (col. 3, lines 57-61), and that no liquid contact takes place between the electrolyte that is present in the electrolytic cells and the heat-transferring medium that is present in the container, outside the electrolytic cells (col. 6, line 45 to col. 7, line 7). This configuration will permit the circulation of a coolant to control the heat of the electrolyzer and prevent thermal decomposition of the products (col. 3, lines 45-51). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to add an insulting cell partition/cooling element, as taught by Cawlfield, having channels formed there-through to allow for the passage of a heat-transferring medium in order to permit the circulation of a coolant to control the heat of the electrolyzer and prevent thermal decomposition of the products.

With regard to claim 2, Cawlfield teaches a reversing element (formed by disengaging element 72 and passages 74, 75, 76 for the catholyte; figure 2) is disposed adjacently to the electrolytic cell package (10), which reversing element is provided with underlying supply pipes (75, 43) for supplying electrolyte to the adjacent electrolytic cell package (10), and furthermore, with collecting discharge pipes (44, 74) disposed near

Art Unit: 1723

the upper side thereof (col. 6, line 57 to col. 7, line 7), in order to separate gases produced at the electrodes and maintain continuous recirculation of electrolyte. The reversing element is provided with one or more through channels (14), which channel (14) is designed in such a manner that no liquid contact takes place between the electrolyte that is present in the electrolytic cells and any medium outside the electrolytic cells because the analyte and catholyte pass through their corresponding conduit as shown in figure 2. therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to add a reversing element, as taught by Cawlfield, in the electrolytic cell of Zilvold in order to separate gases produced at the electrodes and maintain continuous recirculation of electrolyte. The limitations "for discharging electrolyte and the gases formed during the electrolytic process in the adjacent electrolytic cell package, for effecting the return of electrolyte from the collecting discharge pipes to the supply pipes; for the passage there-through of the heat-transferring medium" have not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

With regard to claims 4 and 6, Zilvold discloses wherein electrolytes are discharged from the apparatus via a pipe (4) arranged in the heat transferring medium/water in the container/jacket (page 1, lines 7-14; page 3, line 18 to page 5, line 7). The limitation "so as to transfer the thermal energy contained in the electrolytes to

Art Unit: 1723

the heat-transferring medium" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

5. Claims 3, 5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zilvold in view of Cawlfield, as discussed above, and further in view of Leduc (US Patent no. 3,421,994).

With regard to claims 3 and 5, the modified Zilvold discloses preventing exchange of electrolyte between cells but fails to explicitly teach wherein the electrically non-conducting cell partition is provided with means for electrically interconnecting the various adjacent electrodes.

Leduc teaches an electrochemical apparatus comprising a cell body containing an electrolyte and having a plurality of electrodes with respective upper sections with slots, wherein the slots of the electrodes of common polarity are aligned in the same horizontal plane, the aligned slots being adapted to receive at least one electrically conductive bar (col. 1, lines 15-39; figures 3 and 10) in order to provide an improved means for electrically interconnecting electrodes to an electrical energy source to drive the electrolytic reaction thereby (col. 2, lines 33-35). Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to interconnect the various electrodes between the cells, as taught by Leduc, in order to provide an improved means for interconnecting

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697

Page 7

Art Unit: 1723

electrodes to an electrical energy source to drive the electrolytic reaction thereby.

With regard to claim 7, Zilvold discloses wherein electrolytes are discharged from the apparatus via a pipe (4) arranged in the heat transferring medium/water in the container/jacket (page 1, lines 7-14; page 3, line 18 to page 5, line 7). The limitation "so as to transfer the thermal energy contained in the electrolytes to the heat-transferring medium" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that the manner of operating a device does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art. A recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structure limitations of the claim. See MPEP 2114.

Conclusion

- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZULMARIAM MENDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9805. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 9am to 7pm.
- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,697 Page 8

Art Unit: 1723

8. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1723

/Z. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1723